

NONABELIAN FOURIER TRANSFORMS FOR SPHERICAL REPRESENTATIONS

JAYCE R. GETZ

ABSTRACT. Braverman and Kazhdan have introduced an influential conjecture on local functional equations for general Langlands L -functions. It is related to Lafforgue's equally influential conjectural construction of kernels for functorial transfers. We formulate and prove a version of Braverman and Kazhdan's conjecture for spherical representations over an archimedean field that is suitable for application to the trace formula. We then give a global application related to Langlands' beyond endoscopy proposal.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a split connected reductive group over an archimedean field F and let

$$(1.0.1) \quad r : \widehat{G} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n$$

be a representation of (the connected component of) its Langlands dual group, which we regard as a connected reductive group over $\mathbb{C}$. The local Langlands correspondence is a theorem of Langlands in the archimedean case. Thus for every irreducible admissible representation π of $G(F)$ one has an irreducible admissible representation $r(\pi)$ of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ that is the transfer of (the L -packet of) π . One defines

$$\gamma(s, \pi, r, \psi) := \gamma(s, r(\pi), \psi) := \frac{\varepsilon(s, r(\pi), \psi) L(1 - s, r(\pi)^\vee)}{L(s, r(\pi))}$$

for $s \in \mathbb{C}$ and for any additive character $\psi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, where the ϵ -factor on the right is that defined by Godement and Jacquet [GJ72]. In the case where r is the standard representation of GL_n and the representation π is unitary one has

$$(1.0.2) \quad \gamma(s, \pi, r, \psi) \pi | \det |^{\frac{n-1}{2}+s}(f) = \pi^{\mathrm{anti}} | \det |^{\frac{n-1}{2}+1-s}(\widehat{f})$$

where $\pi^{\mathrm{anti}}(g) := \pi(g^{-1})$ and $\widehat{f}$ is the restriction to $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ of the $\mathfrak{gl}_n(F)$ -Fourier transform of f determined by ψ (see [GJ72, (9.5)]). Strictly speaking f is assumed to be in a space of Gaussian functions in [GJ72], but there is no need to make this precise here.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11F70; Secondary 11F66, 22E45.

The author is thankful for partial support provided by NSF grant DMS 1405708. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

A conjecture of Braverman and Kazhdan [BK00] states that (1.0.2) is but the first case of a general phenomenon. To be more precise we need to place an additional assumption on the representation r . Let Z_G be the center of G and let Z_G° be its neutral component. Assume that there is a character

$$\omega : Z_G^\circ \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$$

such that, if we denote by $\omega^\vee$ the dual cocharacter of $\widehat{Z_G^\circ}$, then

$$r \circ \omega^\vee = [N],$$

where $[N] : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n$ is the cocharacter given on points by

$$x \longmapsto x^N I_n$$

for some $N > 0$. Here I_n is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. We then extend ω to a character of $G = Z_G^\circ G^{\mathrm{der}}$. For complex numbers s we let

$$\omega_s := |\omega|^{s/N}, \quad \pi_s := \pi \otimes \omega_s.$$

The quasicharacter ω_s plays the role of $|\det|^s$ in the case considered by Godement and Jacquet in [GJ72].

Braverman and Kazhdan give a conjectural construction of a nonabelian Fourier transform

$$(1.0.3) \quad \mathcal{F}_{r,\psi} : C_c^\infty(G(F)) \longrightarrow C^\infty(G(F))$$

such that

$$(1.0.4) \quad \gamma(s, \pi, r, \psi) \pi_s(f) = \pi_{1-s}^{\mathrm{anti}}(\mathcal{F}_{r,\psi}(f)).$$

In the case where r is the standard representation of $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$ one can take $\mathcal{F}_{r,\psi}(f) = |\det|^{\frac{1-n}{2}} (|\det|^{\frac{n-1}{2}} f)^\vee$. In the nonarchimedean case Lafforgue has given a spectral definition of $\mathcal{F}_{r,\psi}$ using Paley-Weiner theory under suitable assumptions that are implied by the local Langlands correspondence for $G(F)$ [Laf14, Définition II.15]. The analytic properties of $\mathcal{F}_{r,\psi}(f)$ (e.g. whether or not it is integrable after a suitable twist by a quasicharacter of $G(F)$) are not obvious from his construction (at least to the author).

In this paper we prove the existence of a transform $\mathcal{F}_{r,\psi}(f)$ such that (1.0.4) holds for unitary representations π provided that f is spherical. We also prove that suitable twists of $\mathcal{F}_{r,\psi}(f)$ by quasicharacters lie in a space of functions for which the trace formula is valid.

Let $K \leq G(F)$ be a maximal compact subgroup. For $0 < p \leq 2$ let

$$\mathcal{S}^p(G(F)//K) \subseteq C^\infty(G(F)//K)$$

be the L^p -Harish-Chandra Schwartz space; we recall its definition in §3.3 below.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f \in C_c^\infty(G(F)//K)$ and $0 < p \leq 1$. There is a function*

$$\mathcal{F}_{r,\psi}(f) \in C^\infty(G(F)//K)$$

such that

- (a) *one has $\mathcal{F}_{r,\psi}(f)\omega_s \in \mathcal{S}^p(G(F)//K)$ for $\operatorname{Re}(s)$ sufficiently large in a sense depending only on G and r , and*
- (b) *if π is unitary then*

$$(1.0.5) \quad \gamma(s, \pi, r, \psi) \operatorname{tr} \pi_s(f) = \operatorname{tr} \pi_{1-s}^\vee(\mathcal{F}_{r,\psi}(f))$$

in the sense of analytic continuation.

Remarks.

- (1) Elements of $\mathcal{S}^p(G(F)//K)$ for $0 < p \leq 1$ are in $L^1(G(F)//K)$, so the fact that π is unitary implies that the operator $\pi_s^\vee(\mathcal{F}_{r,\psi}(f))$ is bounded for $\operatorname{Re}(s)$ sufficiently large.
- (2) The function f and $\mathcal{F}_{r,\psi}(f)$ are assumed to be spherical, so for π unitary and nonspherical (1.0.5) is just the equality $0 = 0$.
- (3) The operator $\pi_s(f)$ is holomorphic as a function of s , and $\gamma(s, \pi, r, \psi)$ is meromorphic. Thus (1.0.5) provides a meromorphic continuation of $\operatorname{tr} \pi_{1-s}^\vee(\mathcal{F}_{r,\psi}(f))$ to the complex plane.

Assertion (a) in the theorem is important because the Arthur-Selberg trace formula is valid for functions in (the global version of) $\mathcal{S}^p(G(F)//K)$ for $0 < p \leq 1$ due to work of Finis, Lapid, and Müller [FLM11], [FL11] [FL16] (we will comment a little more on this in §3.3 below). Indeed, providing a transform $\mathcal{F}_{r,\psi}(f)$ that satisfies this condition was our primary motivation for writing this paper. One can then use the results of Finis, Lapid, and Müller to provide an absolutely convergent expression for the sum of residues of Langlands L -functions that Langlands has isolated for study in his “beyond endoscopy” proposal. This will be discussed in §5 below.

It would be very interesting to extend the results in this paper to nonspherical representations. Our approach might be applicable in this more general setting, but there seems to be an analytic result that is not yet available in the literature. More precisely, we use the characterization of the image of $\mathcal{S}^p(G(F)//K)$ under the Fourier transform due to Trombi and Vadarajan [TV71]. A characterization of the image of the nonspherical analogue $\mathcal{S}^p(G(F))$ under the Fourier transform does not seem to be available in the literature, although partial results are known [Tro81], [Tro90]. Hopefully the conjectures of Braverman-Kahzdan [BK00], Lafforgue’s work [Laf14], and of course the beyond endoscopy proposal of Langlands [Lan04] will provide motivation for giving such a characterization.

Remark. Arthur has characterized the image of the Fourier transform of $\mathcal{S}^2(G(F))$ [Art83], but this does not seem to be the right space from the point of view of any of these proposals to move beyond endoscopy. It would be interesting to see if the technique of Anker [Ank91] could be used to deduce the image of the Fourier transform on $\mathcal{S}^p(G(F))$ for $0 < p < 2$ from this case.

We would be remiss not to recall that the fundamental aim of [BK00] and [Laf14] is to provide a definition of the nonabelian Fourier transform for which a version of Poisson summation is valid. As explained in loc. cit., this would lead to a proof of the existence of the global functorial lifting conjectured to be attached to r by Langlands. For this purpose it would probably be desirable to have a definition of the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}_{r,\psi}(f)$, which, unlike the approach of this paper and [Laf14], does not rely on Paley-Wiener theorems. For this we can only point to the hints provided in the works [Alt15], [BNS16], [Che14], [FLN10], [Li13], [Lan13], [Ngô14], [Sak12], [Sak12]. It would also be interesting to interpret the formula in [Get16] from this optic.

We close the introduction by outlining the sections of this paper. In §2 we recall the notion of the transfer of a minimal principal series representation. Preliminaries on the characterization of the image of the spherical Fourier transform due to Trombi and Varadarajan are given in §3.3 and in §4 we deduce the main theorem. Finally, in §5 we give an application of the main theorem to Langlands' beyond endoscopy proposal.

2. TRANSFERS OF REPRESENTATIONS

In this section we set some notation and recall the notion of the transfer $r(\pi)$ of an irreducible admissible representation π of $G(F)$ under some simplifying assumptions. These assumptions are always true if π is spherical.

Let $T \leq G$ be a split maximal torus with Weyl group W . Moreover let $\hat{T} \leq \hat{G}$ be the dual torus; its Weyl group is isomorphic to W and we denote it by the same letter. Let $T_n \leq \mathrm{GL}_n$ be the maximal torus of diagonal matrices and let W_n be its Weyl group. We denote by $\hat{T}_n \leq \mathrm{GL}_{n\mathbb{C}}$ the dual torus. Upon replacing r by a GL_n -conjugate if necessary we can assume that it induces a morphism

$$(2.0.1) \quad r : \hat{T} \longrightarrow \hat{T}_n$$

and, by duality, a morphism

$$r^\vee : T_n \longrightarrow T.$$

We can and do take $r^\vee$ to be defined over F because T_n and T are split.

For quasicharacters $\chi : T(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ let $J(\chi)$ be the Langlands quotient of the unitarily normalized induction $\text{Ind}(\chi)$ of χ to $G(F)$ (or more properly the extension of χ to a Borel subgroup containing T). We use similar notation when G is replaced by GL_n .

Suppose that $\pi = J(\chi)$ where $\chi : T(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is a quasicharacter. One defines $r(\chi)$ to be $\chi \circ r^\vee$ and one defines the transfer of π to be

$$r(\pi) := J(r(\chi)).$$

This is an irreducible admissible representation of $\text{GL}_n(F)$. We note that if

$$r(\chi) \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & a_n \end{pmatrix} = \prod_{i=1}^n \eta_i(a_i)$$

for some quasicharacters $\eta_i : F^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ then $\gamma(s, \pi, r, \psi) = \prod_{i=1}^n \gamma(s, \eta_i, \psi)$ [GJ72, Theorem 8.8].

3. PRELIMINARIES ON THE FOURIER TRANSFORM

In this section we collect some notation related to Langlands decompositions of Borel subgroups and recall some basic facts about the spherical Fourier transform. All of these results will be used in §4 below.

3.1. Langlands decompositions. Let $T \leq G$ and $T_n \leq \text{GL}_n$ be maximal tori as above (so T_n is the diagonal torus, T is split and r maps $\widehat{T}$ into $\widehat{T}_n$). Let $B \geq T$ be a Borel subgroup of G and let $B_n \geq T_n$ be the Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices in GL_n . We let $N \leq B$ and $N_n \leq B_n$ be the unipotent radicals. Let $K \leq G(F)$ be a maximal compact subgroup and let $K_n \leq \text{GL}_n(F)$ be the standard maximal compact subgroup. Let

$$M := T(F) \cap K, \quad M_n := T_n(F) \cap K_n.$$

We can and do assume that M is the maximal compact subgroup of $T(F)$, and then one has $r^\vee(M_n) \leq M$. Let $\mathfrak{a} := X_*(T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, $\mathfrak{a}_n := X_*(T_n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ and denote by $\mathfrak{a}^*$, $\mathfrak{a}_n^*$ their $\mathbb{R}$ -linear duals.

The map r yields

$$r : \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \longrightarrow \mathfrak{a}_{n\mathbb{C}}^*.$$

One has an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}^n &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{a}_n^* \\ (s_1, \dots, s_n) &\longmapsto \eta_{s_1, \dots, s_n} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\eta_{s_1, \dots, s_n} \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & t_n \end{pmatrix} := |t_1|^{s_1} \dots |t_n|^{s_n}.$$

We let

$$(\mathfrak{a}_n^*)_+$$

be the image of $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$ and let

$$\mathfrak{a}_+^* := \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^* : r(\lambda) \in (\mathfrak{a}_n^*)_+\}.$$

Note that these are not Weyl chambers.

3.2. Spherical functions. We now recall the definition of the Harish-Chandra map. We define a function $H_T : T(F) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(T), \mathbb{R})$ via

$$\langle \chi, H_T(x) \rangle := \log |\chi(x)|.$$

Since there is a canonical identification $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(T), \mathbb{R}) = X_*(T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} =: \mathfrak{a}$ we can regard H_T as taking values in $\mathfrak{a}$. For $(k, t, n) \in K \times T(F) \times N(F)$ the Harish-Chandra map is then defined to be

$$(3.2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} H_B : G(F) &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{a} \\ ktn &\longmapsto H_T(t). \end{aligned}$$

We choose Haar measures dk, dt, dn, dg on $K, T(F), N(F)$, and $G(F)$, respectively, such that $\text{meas}_{dk}(K) = 1$ and for $f \in C_c^\infty(G(F))$ and

$$\int_{G(F)} f(g) dg = \int_{K \times T(F) \times N(F)} e^{\langle 2\rho, H_B(t) \rangle} f(ktn) dk dt dn$$

where $\rho \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ is half the sum of the positive roots of T in B .

For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ we let

$$\varphi_\lambda(g) = \int_K e^{\langle -(\lambda + \rho), H_B(g^{-1}k) \rangle} dk$$

be the usual spherical function. It is a matrix coefficient of the representation $\text{Ind}(e^{\langle \lambda, H_B \rangle})$. One defines the spherical transform of suitable K -biinvariant continuous functions $f : G(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ to be

$$(3.2.2) \quad \tilde{f}(\lambda) := \int_{G(F)} f(g) \varphi_{-\lambda}(g) dg$$

(here we are using the convention of [Ank91, §1], at least up to multiplication by i).

3.3. Spaces of functions. Let $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie}(\text{Res}_{F/\mathbb{R}}G)$. For $0 < p \leq 2$ let

$$(3.3.1) \quad \mathcal{S}^p(G(F)//K)$$

denote the space of K -biinvariant functions $f : G(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\sup_{x \in G(F)} (|x| + 1)^n \varphi_0(x)^{-2/p} |X * f * Y(x)| < \infty$$

for all invariant differential operators X, Y on $G(F)$, that is, all elements of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$. Here $|x|$ is the distance of x to K (see, e.g. [Ank91, §1]).

Let

$$(3.3.2) \quad \mathcal{C}(G(F))$$

denote the space of smooth functions $f : G(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$|f * X|_{L^1(G(F))} < \infty.$$

This space is the local analogue of one introduced in [FLM11]. It is obvious that $\mathcal{S}^p(G(F))$ is invariant under right convolution by $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$, and it is known that for $0 < p < p' \leq 2$ there are inclusions

$$\mathcal{S}^p(G(F)//K) \leq \mathcal{S}^{p'}(G(F)//K) \leq L^{p'}(G(F))$$

(see [Ank91, §1]). In particular $\mathcal{S}^p(G(F)) \leq \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ for $0 < p \leq 1$. Thus as mentioned in the introduction the trace formula is known to be absolutely convergent for functions in $\mathcal{S}^1(G(F))$ [FLM11], [FL11] [FL16].

3.4. The Fourier transform. For $0 < p < 2$ let $\mathfrak{a}_p^*$ be the closed tube in $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ of points whose real part lies in the closed convex hull of $W \cdot (2/p - 1)\rho$ in $\mathfrak{a}^*$. For $p = 2$ we let $\mathfrak{a}_2^* = i\mathfrak{a}_*$. For $0 < p \leq 2$ let

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a}_p^*)$$

denote the space of all complex valued functions $h : \mathfrak{a}_p^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

- (a) the function h is holomorphic in the interior of $\mathfrak{a}_p^*$,
- (b) for any polynomial P in the symmetric algebra of $\mathfrak{a}^*$ and any integer $n \geq 0$

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \text{int } \mathfrak{a}_p^*} (\|\lambda\| + 1)^n \left| P \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \right) h(\lambda) \right| < \infty.$$

Here $\|\lambda\|$ is the norm induced by the Killing form.

Trombi and Vadarajan [TV71] proved that the spherical Fourier transform $f \mapsto \widehat{f}(\lambda)$ extends to an isomorphism of Frechet algebras

$$(3.4.1) \quad \mathcal{S}^p(G(F)//K) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a}_p^*)^W.$$

The seminorms which make $\mathcal{S}^p(G(F)//K)$ and $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a}_p^*)$ into Frechet spaces are the obvious ones. The paper [Ank91], which gave a simpler proof of the isomorphism (3.4.1), is a very nice reference for the facts above. In loc. cit. $\mathfrak{a}_p^*$ is denoted $i\mathfrak{a}_{2/p-1}^*$.

For $f \in \mathcal{S}^p(G(F)//K)$ and $0 < p \leq 1$ let

$$f^{(B)}(g) = e^{\langle \rho, H_B(t) \rangle} \int_{N(F)} f(tn) dn$$

be the constant term of f along B . It is well-defined because $\mathcal{S}^p(G(F)//K) \leq L^1(G(F))$ as recalled above.

As in §2, for a quasicharacter $\chi : T(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ let $J(\chi)$ denote the Langlands quotient of the unitarily normalized induction $\text{Ind}(\chi)$ of χ to $G(F)$. If $\chi = e^{\langle \lambda, H_B \rangle}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ we will abbreviate

$$J(\lambda) := J(e^{\langle \lambda, H_B \rangle}) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ind}(\lambda) := \text{Ind}(e^{\langle \lambda, H_B \rangle}).$$

The following lemma is well-known, but we record it and a proof for the convenience of the reader:

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ and $f \in C_c^\infty(G(F)//K)$. Suppose that $J(\lambda)$ is spherical. Then $J(\lambda)(f)$ acts via the scalar*

$$\tilde{f}(-\lambda) = \text{tr } J(\lambda)(f) = \text{tr } \text{Ind}(\lambda)(f) = \text{tr } e^{\langle \lambda, H_B \rangle}(f^{(B)})$$

on the (unique) spherical vector in $J(\lambda)$. If $0 < p \leq 1$, $f \in \mathcal{S}^p(G(F)//K)$ and $J(\lambda)$ is unitary, then $J(\lambda)(f)$ acts via the scalar

$$\tilde{f}(-\lambda) = \text{tr } J(\lambda)(f)$$

on the spherical vector in $J(\lambda)$.

Proof. Assume first that $f \in C_c^\infty(G(F)//K)$. The identity $\text{tr } \text{Ind}(\lambda)(f) = \text{tr } e^{\langle \lambda, H_B \rangle}(f^{(B)})$ is the descent formula (see, e.g. [Kna01, (10.23)]). The vector φ_λ is spherical, satisfies $\varphi_\lambda(1) = 1$, and is a matrix coefficient of the representation $\text{Ind}(\lambda)$ (compare [Ank91, §1]). It is also known that this representation, even if it is reducible, contains a unique spherical line [GV88, 3.1.13] It follows that $\text{Ind}(\lambda)(f)$ acts via the scalar $\tilde{f}(-\lambda) = \text{tr } \text{Ind}(\lambda)(f)$ on φ_λ . On the other hand, one has an equivariant map

$$(3.4.2) \quad \text{Ind}(\lambda) \longrightarrow J(\lambda).$$

Since $J(\lambda)$, being spherical, has a unique spherical line this line must be the image of $\mathbb{C}\varphi_{-\lambda}$ under (3.4.2). Thus $\text{tr } J(\lambda)(f) = \text{tr } \text{Ind}(\lambda)(f)$.

In the following discussion we use basic facts that are recalled in [Ank91, §1] without further comment. We consider the case where $0 < p \leq 1$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}^p(G(F)//K)$. Then f admits a continuous extension to the set of $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_1^*$. Since $C_c^\infty(G(F)//K)$ is dense in

$\mathcal{S}^p(G(F)//K)$ we can choose a Cauchy sequence $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset C_c^\infty(G(F)//K)$ converging to f in $\mathcal{S}^p(G(F)//K)$. In particular, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f$ pointwise on $\mathfrak{a}_1^*$. On the other hand, $J(\lambda)$ is unitary, and hence the fact that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f$ pointwise implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{tr} J(\lambda)(f_n) = \operatorname{tr} J(\lambda)(f)$$

by dominated convergence, since f and all the f_n are in $L^1(G(F))$. Thus

$$\widetilde{f}(-\lambda) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{f}_n(-\lambda) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{tr} J(\lambda)(f_n) = \operatorname{tr} J(\lambda)(f).$$

□

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Recall that we have normalized r so that it induces a morphism $r : \widehat{T} \rightarrow \widehat{T}_n$. The fact that r may have positive dimensional kernel causes some minor complications which we address as follows. Let $\widehat{G}_1 < \widehat{G}$ be the neutral component of the kernel of r . There a connected complex reductive group $\widehat{G}_0$ and a morphism $r_0 : \widehat{G} \rightarrow \widehat{G}_0$ such that the composite

$$\widehat{G}_1 \hookrightarrow \widehat{G} \xrightarrow{r_0} \widehat{G}_0$$

is an isogeny. We therefore obtain an algebraic group morphism

$$\widetilde{r} := r_0 \times r : \widehat{G} \longrightarrow \widehat{G}_0 \times \operatorname{GL}_{n\mathbb{C}}.$$

Let $\widehat{T}_0 \leq \widehat{G}_0$ be a maximal torus with Weyl group W_0 . Upon replacing r_0 with a $\widehat{G}_0$ -conjugate we can and do assume that $r_0(\widehat{T}) \leq \widehat{T}_0$. We remark that $\widetilde{r}$ has zero-dimensional kernel, and if the kernel of r is zero-dimensional then $\widehat{G}_0 = \widehat{T}_0 = 1$ and $\widetilde{r} = r$. We also note that $\widetilde{r}$ induces a map $W \rightarrow W_0 \times W_n$, and a map $\widetilde{r} : \widehat{T} \rightarrow \widehat{T}_0 \times \widehat{T}_n$ that intertwines the actions of W and $W_0 \times W_n$.

Let T_0 be the split torus over F whose points in an F -algebra R are given by

$$T_0(R) := X^*(\widehat{T}_0) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R^\times = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X_*(\widehat{T}_0), R^\times).$$

For notational simplicity we let

$$\widetilde{T} := T_0 \times T_n, \quad \widetilde{W} := W_0 \times W_n.$$

Let $M_0 \leq T_0(F)$ be the maximal compact subgroup; it satisfies $\widetilde{r}^\vee(M_0 \times M_n) \leq M$. We set

$$\widetilde{M} := M_0 \times M_n.$$

From $\widetilde{r}$ we obtain by duality a map

$$\widetilde{r}^\vee : T_0 \times T_n \longrightarrow T.$$

There are natural isomorphisms

$$\widetilde{T}(F)/\widetilde{M} \cong X_*(\widetilde{T}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} =: \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}},$$

and $T(F)/M \cong \mathfrak{a}$, so $\widetilde{r}^\vee$ induces an $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map

$$\widetilde{r}^\vee : \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}} := \widetilde{T}(F)/\widetilde{M} \longrightarrow T(F)/M =: \mathfrak{a}.$$

It is surjective, as the complexification of its dual is

$$\widetilde{r} : \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$$

which is injective by construction of $\widetilde{r}$.

Now that we have defined $\widetilde{r}$ we can give a diagram that outlines the construction of $\mathcal{F}_{r,\psi}(f)$ from f . Let $s_0 > 0$ be sufficiently large. Let $d_\omega \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ be the point corresponding to ω . Let $0 < p \leq 1$ and let $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a}_p^*)(-s_0)$ denote the space of functions of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{a}_p^* + s_0 d_\omega &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ \lambda &\longmapsto f(\lambda - s_0 d_\omega) \end{aligned}$$

for some $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a}_p^*)$. We note that ω is fixed by the Weyl group, so this space admits an action of W , and $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a}_p^*)^W(-s_0) = \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a}_p^*)(s_0)^W$. Moreover, (3.4.1) induces an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}^p(G(F)//K)\omega_{-s_0} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a}_p^*)(-s_0)^W \\ f &\xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{f}(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

where the $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space on the left is the space of functions of the form $f\omega_{-s_0}$ for $f \in \mathcal{S}^p(G(F)//K)$. We will construct $\mathcal{F}_{r,\psi}(f)$ so that the following diagram commutes.

$$(4.0.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C_c^\infty(\widetilde{T}(F)/\widetilde{M})^{\widetilde{W}} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & C_c^\infty(T_0(F)/M_0)^{W_0} \otimes \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{t}_n(F)/M_n)^{W_n} \\ \downarrow \widetilde{r}_*^\vee & & \downarrow \Psi \mapsto \widetilde{\Psi} \circ \widetilde{r} \\ C_c^\infty(T(F)/M)^W & & \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a}_p^*)(-s_0)^W \\ \uparrow f \mapsto f^{(B)} & & \uparrow f \mapsto \widehat{f}(\lambda) \\ C_c^\infty(G(F)//K) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_{r,\psi}} & \mathcal{S}^p(G(F)//K)\omega_{-s_0} \end{array}$$

Here the horizontal arrow marked $\sim$ is (4.0.4), which is just the Fourier transform on $T_n(F) \subset \mathfrak{t}_n(F)$ (the F -points of the Lie algebra T_n) and taking the “dual function” on $T_0(F)$. Moreover $\widetilde{\Psi}$ is a Mellin transform (see (4.0.6)). The proof of Theorem 1.1 we now give amounts to filling in the details of this diagram; the functional equation ultimately reduces to the familiar functional equation of Tate zeta functions.

Let π be a given spherical unitary irreducible representation of $G(F)$. Thus there is a quasi-character $\chi : T(F)/M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ so that $\pi \cong J(\chi)$. Moreover let $f \in C_c^\infty(G(F)//K)$. We

will trace these two objects along the upper path from $C_c^\infty(G(F)//K)$ to $\mathcal{S}^p(G(F)//K)$ in the diagram. Lemma 3.1 implies that

$$(4.0.2) \quad \mathrm{tr} J(\chi)(f) = \mathrm{tr} \chi(f^{(B)})$$

for $f \in C_c^\infty(G(F)//K)$.

Since $\tilde{r}^\vee$ is surjective and submersive as just discussed, we can choose a $\Phi \in C_c^\infty(\tilde{T}(F)/\tilde{M})$ so that the push-forward $\tilde{r}_*^\vee(\Phi)$ is $f^{(B)}$. In fact, we can and do assume that

$$\Phi \in C_c^\infty(\tilde{T}(F)/\tilde{M})^{\tilde{W}}.$$

Thus

$$(4.0.3) \quad \mathrm{tr} J(\chi)_s(f) = \mathrm{tr} \chi \omega_s(f^{(B)}) = \mathrm{tr} \chi \omega_s(\tilde{r}_*^\vee(\Phi)) = \mathrm{tr} \tilde{r}(\chi) |\det|^s(\Phi).$$

We are now at the top row of the diagram. The usual embedding $\mathrm{GL}_n \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_n$ of algebraic monoids induces an embedding $T_n \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{t}_n$ where $\mathfrak{t}_n := \mathrm{Lie}(T_n)$. We can therefore regard an element of $C_c^\infty(T_n(F))$ as an element of $C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{t}_n(F))$. The pairing

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{t}_n(F) \times \mathfrak{t}_n(F) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ (X, Y) &\longmapsto \psi(\mathrm{tr}(XY)) \end{aligned}$$

is perfect. For $(t_0, t) \in T_0(F) \times T_n(F)$ let

$$(4.0.4) \quad \hat{\Phi}(t_0, t) = \int_{\mathfrak{t}_n(F)} \Phi(t_0^{-1}, x) \psi(\mathrm{tr}(tx)) dx;$$

it is just the Fourier transform in the second variable. We then have

$$(4.0.5) \quad \gamma(s, J(r(\chi)), \psi) \mathrm{tr} \tilde{r}(\chi) |\det|^s(\Phi) = \mathrm{tr} \tilde{r}(\chi^{-1}) |\det|^{1-s}(\hat{\Phi})$$

by the local functional equation of Tate's thesis [Tat79, (3.2.1)]. Here the left hand side is meromorphic as a function of s , and $\mathrm{tr} \tilde{r}(\chi^{-1}) |\det|^{1-s}(\hat{\Phi})$ is absolutely convergent for $\mathrm{Re}(s)$ sufficiently small in a sense depending on χ .

We are now at the upper right corner of the diagram. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}} := \mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{T})$. We denote by $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{t}_n(F))$ the usual Schwartz space and similarly for $\mathcal{S}(\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}(F))$. Assume that

$$\Psi \in C_c^\infty(T(F)) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{t}_n(F))$$

where we take the completion in, say, the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}(F))$. We then have that

$$(4.0.6) \quad \tilde{\Psi}(\lambda) := \int_{\tilde{T}(F)} e^{\langle \lambda, H_{\tilde{T}}(t) \rangle} \Phi(t) dt$$

is absolutely convergent and holomorphic if $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) \in \mathfrak{a}_0^* \times (\mathfrak{a}_n^*)_+$. In fact something stronger is true:

Lemma 4.1. *For any polynomial P in the symmetric algebra of $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^*$ and any integer $n \geq 0$ the quantity*

$$(\|\lambda\| + 1)^n \left| P \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \right) \tilde{\Phi}(\lambda) \right|$$

is bounded for $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)$ in a fixed compact subset of $\mathfrak{a}_0^* \times (\mathfrak{a}_n^*)_+$.

Proof. The lemma is a consequence of the following claim: for any $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, real numbers $0 < a < b$, and nonnegative integers n, k with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}$ one has that

$$|s|^n \left| \frac{d^k}{ds^k} \tilde{f}(s) \right| \ll_{f,a,b,n,k} 1$$

provided that $a \leq \operatorname{Re}(s) \leq b$. Here $\tilde{f}(s) = \int_0^\infty f(x) x^{s-1} dx$ is the usual Mellin transform. To check this, let $D := x \frac{d}{dx}$. It is not hard to see that $x^\varepsilon D^n (\log^k f)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and in $L^1(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \frac{dx}{x})$ for any real number $\varepsilon > 0$, and thus the Mellin transform of $(D^n (\log^k f))^\sim(\sigma + it)$ is bounded as a function of $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for $a \leq \sigma \leq b$. Thus

$$\left| s^n \frac{d^k}{ds^k} \tilde{f}(s) \right| = |(D^n (\log^k f))^\sim(s)|$$

is bounded for $a \leq \operatorname{Re}(s) \leq b$. □

Corollary 4.2. *Suppose that $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is large enough in a sense depending only on r, G . Suppose that $\Psi \in C_c^\infty(T_0(F)/M_0)^{W_0} \otimes \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{t}_n(F)/M_n)^{W_n}$. Then the function*

$$\lambda \longmapsto \tilde{\Psi}(\tilde{r}(\lambda + s_0 d_\omega))$$

is in $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a}_p^*)(-s_0)^W$.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 4.1 and the observation that any fixed compact subset of $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^*$ (e.g. the closed convex hull of $\tilde{r}(W \cdot (2/p - 1)\rho)$) is contained in $\mathfrak{a}_0 \times (\mathfrak{a}_n^*)_+$ for $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ large enough. □

The corollary implies that the map $\Psi \mapsto \tilde{\Psi} \circ \tilde{r}$ in the top row of the diagram is well-defined for s_0 large enough. This places us at the group $\mathcal{S}^p(\mathfrak{a}_p^*)$ in the diagram.

Since $J(\chi)$ is unitary and $0 < p \leq 1$ we can choose $\mu \in \mathfrak{a}_p^*$ such that $\chi(t) = e^{\langle \mu, H_B(t) \rangle}$ (see [Kna01, p. 654]). Then

$$\tilde{r}(\chi^{-1}) = e^{\langle \tilde{r}(-\mu), H_B \rangle}.$$

Combining this notation with (4.0.3) and (4.0.5) we arrive at

$$(4.0.7) \quad \gamma(s, J(r(\chi)), \psi) \operatorname{tr} J(\chi)_s(f) = \hat{\Phi}^\sim(\tilde{r}(-\mu) + (1-s)d) = \hat{\Phi}^\sim(\tilde{r}(-\mu + (1-s)d_0)).$$

Now $\widehat{\Phi}^\sim \in \mathcal{S}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_p)(-s_0)$ for s_0 sufficiently large, and hence $\lambda \mapsto \widehat{\Phi}^\sim(\widetilde{r}(\lambda))$ is in $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a}_p)(-s_0)$ for s_0 sufficiently large since $\widetilde{r} : \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_\mathbb{C}^*$ is injective. Thus we can choose an $h \in \mathcal{S}^p(G(F)//K)$ so that for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_p^*$ one has

$$(4.0.8) \quad \mathrm{tr} J(\lambda)(h) = \widehat{h}(-\lambda) = \widehat{\Phi}^\sim(\widetilde{r}(\lambda + s_0 d))$$

by (3.4.1) and Lemma 3.1. Set

$$\mathcal{F}_{r,\psi}(f) := h\omega_{-s_0}.$$

By construction, $h \in \mathcal{S}^p(G(F)//K)$. We have now successfully traversed along the upper path from $C_c^\infty(G(F)//K)$ to $\mathcal{S}^p(G(F)//K)$ in our diagram.

Combining (4.0.7) and (4.0.8) we deduce that

$$(4.0.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \gamma(s, J(\chi), r, \psi) \mathrm{tr} J(\chi)_s(f) &= \widehat{\Phi}^\sim(\widetilde{r}(-\mu + (1-s)d_\omega)) \\ &= \mathcal{F}_{r,\psi}(f)^\sim(-\lambda + (1-s)d_\omega) \\ &= \mathrm{tr} J(\chi)_{1-s}^\vee(\mathcal{F}_{r,\psi}(f)) \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

5. A GLOBAL APPLICATION

Let F be a number field and let ∞ be the set of infinite places of F . Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_m$ for some integer m . It is not strictly necessary to take $G = \mathrm{GL}_m$ for what follows, but it will make the discussion simpler. We retain the obvious analogues of the notation above in this global setting; for example $T \leq \mathrm{GL}_m$ is a maximal split torus which we take to be the diagonal matrices for simplicity. For $v \nmid \infty$ let

$$(5.0.1) \quad \mathcal{S} : C_c^\infty(G(F)//G(\mathcal{O}_{F_v})) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[T]^W$$

be the Satake isomorphism. Let

$$r : \widehat{G} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n$$

be an irreducible representation and let

$$\mathbb{L}_r := \prod_{v \nmid S} \mathbb{L}_{r,v}$$

where

$$\mathbb{L}_{r,v} := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}^{-1}(\mathrm{tr} \mathrm{Sym}^k(r(t))) .$$

Thus if π^∞ is an unramified admissible representation of $G(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$ one has

$$\mathrm{tr} \pi_s^\infty(\mathbb{L}_r) = L(s, \pi^\infty, r)$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s)$ large enough.

Let A be the connected component of the real points of the maximal $\mathbb{Q}$ -split torus in the center of $\operatorname{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} G$ and

$$G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1 := \bigcap_{\chi \in X^*(G)} \ker(| \cdot |_F \circ \det : G(\mathbb{A}_F) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}).$$

In [Lan04] Langlands proposed that one attempt to prove Langlands functoriality in general via a trace formula. His point of departure was that for $\bar{f} \in C_c^\infty(A \backslash G(F_\infty))$ one can use the trace formula to provide an absolutely convergent expression for

$$(5.0.2) \quad \sum_{\pi} \operatorname{tr} \pi_\infty(\bar{f}) \pi_s^\infty(\mathbb{I}_r)$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s)$ large. Here the sum over π is over cuspidal automorphic representations of $A \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F)$. Strictly speaking, Langlands used logarithmic derivatives of L -functions, Sarnak proposed the current formulation because it appears to be more tractable analytically [Sar].

One can then hope to use the trace formula to give an expression for

$$(5.0.3) \quad \sum_{\pi} \operatorname{Res}_{s=1} \operatorname{tr} \pi_\infty(\bar{f}) L(s, \pi^\infty, r)$$

in terms of orbital integrals (and automorphic representations on Levi subgroups). Those residues ought to correspond to representations whose L -parameter, upon composition with r , fixes a vector in V . These ought to be transfers from smaller groups, and one hopes to compare the sum of residues (5.0.3) with corresponding sums on smaller groups. Since every algebraic subgroup of $\widehat{G}$ is the fixed points of a vector in some representation of $\widehat{G}$ by a theorem of Chevalley, in principle executing this approach would lead to a proof of functoriality in general.

However, Langlands gave no absolutely convergent geometric expression for (5.0.3) nor any indication of how to obtain one, even assuming Langlands functoriality. In practice this seems to be an extremely difficult analytic hurdle that has only been overcome in a handful of cases [Alt15], [Get16], [Get14], [Her11], [GH15], [Ven04], [Whi14] that are essentially those isolated as tractable by Sarnak in his letter [Sar].

In this section we use Theorem 1.1 and work of Finis, Lapid, and Müller to give an absolutely convergent expression in terms of orbital integrals and automorphic representations of Levi subgroups that is equal to (5.0.3) if one assumes Langlands functoriality (what we need is given precisely in Conjecture 5.2 below). We emphasize that the expression makes sense without any assumption in place, so one could try to use it to study Langlands' beyond endoscopy proposal. At very least it allows us to replace (5.0.3) with a quantity which is well-defined without any assumptions.

With apologies to the reader, we now switch conventions a bit and work with automorphic representations of $G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1$ instead of $A \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F)$. This is both more suitable for the manipulations below and makes comparison with [FLM11] [FL11] [FL16] easier.

The key consequence of Theorem 1.1 we use here is the following corollary:

Corollary 5.1. *The non-invariant trace formula is valid for the functions*

$$\begin{aligned} G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1 &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ g &\longmapsto \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s)=\sigma} \int_A \omega_s(a) f \mathbb{L}_r(ag) da \\ g &\longmapsto \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s)=\sigma} \int_A \omega_s(a) \mathcal{F}_{r,\psi}(f) \mathbb{L}_r(ag) da. \end{aligned}$$

provided that σ is sufficiently large.

Proof. By Theorem 1.1 the given functions lie in the space $\mathcal{C}(G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1, G(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F))$ of [FLM11] [FL11] [FL16] for σ sufficiently large (see the discussion below (3.3.2)). Thus the corollary follows from the main theorems of loc. cit. □

Consider the difference

$$(5.0.4) \quad \sum_{\pi} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s)=\sigma} \operatorname{tr} \pi_s(f \mathbb{L}_r) ds - \sum_{\pi} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s)=\sigma} \operatorname{tr} \pi_s(\mathcal{F}_{r,\psi}(f) \mathbb{L}_r)$$

where the sum is over isomorphism classes of cuspidal automorphic representations of $G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1$. By the corollary, for σ sufficiently large the noninvariant trace formula provides an absolutely convergent expression for (5.0.4) in terms of orbital integrals and automorphic representations on Levi subgroups of G . This is the unconditional definition of (5.0.3) we provide; it is well-defined without assuming any conjecture.

To complete this section and our discussion we prove that (5.0.4) is equal to (5.0.3) assuming the following conjecture:

Conjecture 5.2 (Langlands). *The function*

$$L(s, \pi^{\infty}, r)$$

admits a meromorphic continuation to the plane, holomorphic except for a possible pole at $s = 1$. It satisfies the functional equation

$$L(s, \pi^{\infty}, r) = \gamma(s, \pi_{\infty}, r) L(1 - s, \pi^{\infty \vee}, r).$$

Proposition 5.3. *If Conjecture 5.2 is valid then (5.0.4) is equal to the absolutely convergent sum*

$$(5.0.5) \quad \sum_{\pi} \operatorname{Res}_{s=1} \operatorname{tr} \pi_{\infty s}(f) L(s, \pi^{\infty}, r).$$

We remark that the proof of the proposition requires Theorem 1.1 in particular at the archimedean places; working outside a finite set of places including the archimedean ones where one can assume an unramified functional equation is not enough.

For an admissible irreducible representation π of $G(\mathbb{A}_F)$ let $\mathcal{C}(\pi) = \mathcal{C}(\pi, 0)$ be its analytic conductor as defined by Iwaniec and Sarnak ([Bru06, §1] is a nice reference). We have the following corollary of Conjecture 5.2:

Corollary 5.4. *Assume Conjecture 5.2. For any real numbers $A < B$ and $A \leq \operatorname{Re}(s) \leq B$ one has an estimate*

$$(s-1)^{\operatorname{ord}_{s=1} L(s, \pi^{\infty}, r)} L(s, \pi^{\infty}, r) \ll_{A,B,M} \mathcal{C}(\pi)^M$$

for some $M > 0$.

Proof. Notice that $\mathcal{C}(r(\pi)) \ll_N \mathcal{C}(\pi)^N$ for some integer N depending only on r . Thus the corollary follows from the same (standard) preconvexity argument proving [Bru06, (10)]. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 5.3. Since $f \in C_c^{\infty}(G(F_{\infty}))$ the trace $\operatorname{tr} \pi_{\infty s}(f)$ is entire as a function of s . We also note that if $A \leq \operatorname{Re}(s) \leq B$ then $\operatorname{tr} \pi_{\infty s}(f)$ is rapidly decreasing as a function of $\mathcal{C}(\pi_{\operatorname{Im}(s)})$ (see [Get12, Lemma 4.4]). Thus for σ sufficiently large

$$(5.0.6) \quad \sum_{\pi} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s)=\sigma} |\operatorname{tr} \pi_s(f \mathbb{L}_r)| ds < \infty.$$

Applying Corollary 5.4 we see that (5.0.5) also converges absolutely.

Now consider

$$\sum_{\pi} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s)=\sigma} \operatorname{tr} \pi_s(\mathcal{F}_{r,\psi}(f) \mathbb{L}_r) ds.$$

By Theorem 1.1 and Conjecture 5.2 this is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\pi} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s)=\sigma} \gamma(1-s, \pi_{\infty}, r, \psi) \operatorname{tr} \pi_{\infty 1-s}(f) \operatorname{tr} \pi_s^{\infty \vee}(\mathbb{L}_r) ds \\ &= \sum_{\pi} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s)=\sigma} \operatorname{tr} \pi_{\infty 1-s}(f) L(1-s, \pi^{\infty}, r) ds \\ (5.0.7) \quad &= \sum_{\pi} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s)=1-\sigma} \operatorname{tr} \pi_{\infty s}(f) L(s, \pi^{\infty}, r) ds \end{aligned}$$

Applying Corollary 5.4 and (5.0.6) we deduce that this converges absolutely. We now shift the contour in (5.0.7) to the line $\operatorname{Re}(s) = \sigma$, picking up the contribution of (5.0.5) from the poles at $s = 1$, and deduce the proposition. $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This paper grew out of a talk given at a conference organized by W. Casselman and F. Shahidi; the author thanks them for organizing the conference and for useful conversations. In particular, the observation that the conjecture of Braverman and Kazhdan considered in this paper ought to follow from Paley-Wiener theorems on reductive groups was made by Casselman in an email to the author. Moreover, W-W. Li and Shahidi deserve thanks for their comments on an earlier version of this paper. The author appreciates S. Cheng's help with references and H. Hahn's constant encouragement and help with editing. Finally, he thanks an anonymous referee for insisting that an application of Theorem 1.1 be provided; this led to the inclusion of §5.

REFERENCES

- [Alt15] Salim Ali Altuğ. Beyond endoscopy via the trace formula: 1. Poisson summation and isolation of special representations. *Compos. Math.*, 151(10):1791–1820, 2015. [4](#), [14](#)
- [Ank91] Jean-Philippe Anker. The spherical Fourier transform of rapidly decreasing functions. A simple proof of a characterization due to Harish-Chandra, Helgason, Trombi, and Varadarajan. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 96(2):331–349, 1991. [4](#), [6](#), [7](#), [8](#)
- [Art83] James Arthur. A Paley-Wiener theorem for real reductive groups. *Acta Math.*, 150(1-2):1–89, 1983. [4](#)
- [BK00] A. Braverman and D. Kazhdan. γ -functions of representations and lifting. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, (Special Volume, Part I):237–278, 2000. With an appendix by V. Vologodsky, GAFA 2000 (Tel Aviv, 1999). [2](#), [3](#), [4](#)
- [BNS16] A. Bouthier, B. C. Ngô, and Y. Sakellaridis. On the formal arc space of a reductive monoid. *Amer. J. Math.*, 138(1):81–108, 2016. [4](#)
- [Bru06] Farrell Brumley. Effective multiplicity one on GL_N and narrow zero-free regions for Rankin-Selberg L -functions. *Amer. J. Math.*, 128(6):1455–1474, 2006. [16](#)
- [Che14] S. Cheng. A Global Trace Formula for Reductive Lie Algebras and the Harish-Chandra Transform on the Space of Characteristic Polynomials. *ArXiv e-prints*, October 2014. [4](#)
- [FL11] Tobias Finis and Erez Lapid. On the continuity of Arthur's trace formula: the semisimple terms. *Compos. Math.*, 147(3):784–802, 2011. [3](#), [7](#), [15](#)
- [FL16] Tobias Finis and Erez Lapid. On the Continuity of the Geometric Side of the Trace Formula. *Acta Math. Vietnam.*, 41(3):425–455, 2016. [3](#), [7](#), [15](#)
- [FLM11] Tobias Finis, Erez Lapid, and Werner Müller. On the spectral side of Arthur's trace formula—absolute convergence. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 174(1):173–195, 2011. [3](#), [7](#), [15](#)
- [FLN10] Edward Frenkel, Robert Langlands, and Báo Châu Ngô. Formule des traces et fonctorialité: le début d'un programme. *Ann. Sci. Math. Québec*, 34(2):199–243, 2010. [4](#)

- [Get12] Jayce R. Getz. An approach to nonsolvable base change and descent. *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.*, 27(2):143–211, 2012. [16](#)
- [Get14] Jayce R. Getz. Invariant four-variable automorphic kernel functions. *submitted*, October 2014. [14](#)
- [Get16] Jayce R. Getz. A four-variable automorphic kernel function. *Res. Math. Sci.*, 3:3:20, 2016. [4](#), [14](#)
- [GH15] Jayce R. Getz and Paul Edward Herman. A nonabelian trace formula. *Res. Math. Sci.*, 2:2:14, 2015. [14](#)
- [GJ72] Roger Godement and Hervé Jacquet. *Zeta functions of simple algebras*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 260. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972. [1](#), [2](#), [5](#)
- [GV88] Ramesh Gangolli and V. S. Varadarajan. *Harmonic analysis of spherical functions on real reductive groups*, volume 101 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988. [8](#)
- [Her11] P. Edward Herman. Beyond endoscopy for the Rankin-Selberg L -function. *J. Number Theory*, 131(9):1691–1722, 2011. [14](#)
- [Kna01] Anthony W. Knap. *Representation theory of semisimple groups*. Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001. An overview based on examples, Reprint of the 1986 original. [8](#), [12](#)
- [Laf14] Laurent Lafforgue. Noyaux du transfert automorphe de Langlands et formules de Poisson non linéaires. *Jpn. J. Math.*, 9(1):1–68, 2014. [2](#), [3](#), [4](#)
- [Lan04] Robert P. Langlands. Beyond endoscopy. In *Contributions to automorphic forms, geometry, and number theory*, pages 611–697. Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD, 2004. [3](#), [14](#)
- [Lan13] Robert P. Langlands. Singularités et transfert. *Ann. Math. Qué.*, 37(2):173–253, 2013. [4](#)
- [Li13] W.-W. Li. Basic functions and unramified local L -factors for split groups. *ArXiv e-prints*, November 2013. [4](#)
- [Ngô14] Bao Châu Ngô. On a certain sum of automorphic L -functions. In *Automorphic forms and related geometry: assessing the legacy of I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro*, volume 614 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 337–343. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2014. [4](#)
- [Sak12] Yiannis Sakellaridis. Spherical varieties and integral representations of L -functions. *Algebra Number Theory*, 6(4):611–667, 2012. [4](#)
- [Sar] Peter Sarnak. Comments on Langlands’ lecture ”Endoscopy and Beyond”. Available at <http://publications.ias.edu/sarnak/section/513/guide.html>. [14](#)
- [Tat79] J. Tate. Number theoretic background. In *Automorphic forms, representations and L -functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 2*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, pages 3–26. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979. [11](#)
- [Tro81] P. C. Trombi. Harmonic analysis of $C^p(G: F)(1 \leq p < 2)$. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 40(1):84–125, 1981. [3](#)
- [Tro90] P. C. Trombi. Uniform asymptotics for real reductive Lie groups. *Pacific J. Math.*, 146(1):131–199, 1990. [3](#)
- [TV71] P. C. Trombi and V. S. Varadarajan. Spherical transforms of semisimple Lie groups. *Ann. of Math.* (2), 94:246–303, 1971. [3](#), [7](#)
- [Ven04] Akshay Venkatesh. “Beyond endoscopy” and special forms on $GL(2)$. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 577:23–80, 2004. [14](#)
- [Whi14] Paul-James White. The base change L -function for modular forms and Beyond Endoscopy. *J. Number Theory*, 140:13–37, 2014. [14](#)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DUKE UNIVERSITY, DURHAM, NC 27708

E-mail address: `jgetz@math.duke.edu`